Request for Corrected Official Filing Receipt Attorney's Docket No. <u>1033963-000020</u>

Application No. 10/567,377

that the restriction requirement is in error. It is believed that in examining the non-

elected claims, the Examiner will search the same classes of art as is required to

search the invention of the elected claims, resulting in the same references being

cited against both of the aforementioned groups of claims.

Thus, this restriction will not reduce the workload of the U.S. Patent and

Trademark Office or simplify prosecution of the application. As set forth in M.P.E.P.

§ 803, there are two criteria for a proper restriction requirement between patentably

distinct inventions: (1) the inventions must be independent or distinct as claimed; and

(2) there must be a serious burden on the Examiner if restriction is not required.

This portion of the M.P.E.P. requires that if the search and examination of an entire

application can be made without serious burden, the Examiner must examine it on

the merits, even though it includes claims to distinct or independent inventions.

Accordingly, reconsideration and withdrawal of the aforementioned restriction

requirement is respectfully requested. The provisional restriction is hereby made

without prejudice to Applicants' right to file a divisional application or applications

should the restriction and election requirements become final.

Respectfully submitted,

BUCHANAN INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC

Date: March 4, 2008

Registration No. 51522

P.O. Box 1404

Alexandria, VA 22313-1404

703 836 6620